

# Introduction to Syntax Analysis

---

Sebastian Hack

<http://compilers.cs.uni-saarland.de>

Compiler Construction Core Course 2017  
Saarland University

# Syntax Analysis in the Compiler Structure



# Abstract Syntax vs. Concrete Syntax

Syntax is typically defined using context-free grammars

Abstract syntax describes the structure of a program:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} s & \rightarrow & \text{While}(e, s) \\ | & & \text{If}(e, s, s) \\ | & & \text{ExprStmt}(e) \end{array}$$

Concrete syntax describes how programs “look” like as text:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} s & \rightarrow & \text{while } (e) \ s \\ | & & \text{if } (e) \ s \text{ else } s \\ | & & e; \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lcl} e & \rightarrow & \text{Const}[v] \\ | & & \text{Id}[n] \\ | & & \text{Neg}(e) \\ | & & \text{Plus}(e, e) \\ | & & \text{Minus}(e, e) \\ | & & \vdots \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lcl} e & \rightarrow & \text{NUM} \\ | & & \text{ID} \\ | & & - \ e \\ | & & e + e \\ | & & e - e \\ | & & (e) \\ | & & \vdots \end{array}$$

# Lexing

- The terminals of the concrete syntax are so-called **tokens** that are produced by a **lexer** from the characters of the program text
- A token consists of
  - An ID that characterizes its type (identifier, number, semicolon, etc.)
  - Source code coordinates (for error reporting)
  - The corresponding program text (if necessary)
- Structure of tokens typically described by regular expressions
- Theory doesn't require lexing (context-free languages contain regular languages) but lexing makes the specification of the concrete syntax and the parser simpler

## Lexing: Example

### Program Text

```
q = 0;  
r = x;  
while (y <= r) {  
    r = r - y;  
    q = q + 1;  
}
```

### Tokens (coordinates omitted)

```
ID("q") ASSIGN INT_CONST("0") SEMI  
ID("r") ASSIGN ID("x") SEMI  
WHILE LPAREN VAR("y") LE VAR("r")  
RPAREN LBRACE  
ID("r") ASSIGN ID("r") MINUS ID("y")  
SEMI  
ID("q") ASSIGN ID("q") PLUS  
INT_CONST("1") SEMI  
RBRACE
```

# Parsing

- The parser analyses the token stream and
  - either constructs the AST
  - or produces error messages on syntax errors
- Parsing requires an **unambiguous** grammar:  
Every syntactically correct input program has exactly one derivation
- Straight-forward grammars for common languages are **ambiguous**, common issues:
  - Precedence and associativity of operators
  - Dangling else
- We'll discuss different solutions to this problem in the parsing session

# Parsing Example

## Tokens

```
ID("q") ASSIGN INT_CONST("0") SEMI
ID("r") ASSIGN ID("x") SEMI
WHILE LPAREN VAR("y") LE VAR("r")
RPAREN LBRACE
ID("r") ASSIGN ID("r") MINUS ID("y")
SEMI
ID("q") ASSIGN ID("q") PLUS
INT_CONST("1") SEMI
RBRACE
```

## Abstract Syntax Tree

